ACCURATE GAS EXCHANGE AND COMBUSTION ANALYSIS DIRECTLY AT THE TEST BED
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ABSTRACT

Accurate combustion analysis at the test bed is an important tool for the development engineer. It can help engine design, efficiency improvements or emissions reduction by providing instantaneous feedback on the combustion process. It can also provide detailed combustion information to help speed-up the engine calibration process. By implementing shared memory communication, multiple core capability and streamlined calculation techniques, the calculation time of AVL gas exchange and combustion analysis software GCA (Gas Exchange and Combustion Analysis) has been dramatically reduced without significantly decreasing calculation results accuracy. This allows AVL IndiCOM (in combination with AVL GCA) to perform accurate gas exchange and combustion analysis calculations directly and promptly at the test bed. This opens the door to a number of promising new applications by erasing the bridge between measurement data acquisition and post-processing analysis. Increase of measurement data consistency and the reduction of development time are two of the most important benefits of being able to perform “on-line” plausibility checks of measurement data. The strong links connecting AVL GCA calculation results to the measurement data and the redundancy between calculation and measurement for the assessment of some highly relevant engine parameters (e.g. IMEP, air mass flow) can greatly extend the “on-line” plausibility checks functions already available in AVL IndiCom or AVL test bed automation software PUMA. Some passenger car application examples, where valve train flexibility is used to enhance fuel economy or reduce exhaust emissions of internal combustion engines, show that the immediate availability at the test bed of gas exchange related parameters (e.g. internal EGR rate, scavenged mass, mass flows through the valves) supports an intuitive optimization of the valve train parameters.

INTRODUCTION

Combustion analysis is used by engine developers to obtain additional information on the combustion process that cannot be measured directly [1-3]. There is also sometimes the issue that feedback from available sensors is too slow or cannot be located in the required position. There is usually a compromise between a quick feedback of data at the test bed or a more complete but slower analysis later in the office after the measurements have been completed. The target of this work has been to develop a complete gas exchange and combustion analysis package that can be used directly at the test bed or on the vehicle without any compromise in accuracy.

The calibration of a GDI engine at low speed and high torque (i.e. low end torque) provides a high potential to increase BMEP. This is managed by scavenging when shifting the valves to a large overlap period. It ensures minimal residual gas for the following cycle and therefore a maximum amount of combustible gas in the combustion chamber at stoichiometric operation. Meanwhile the air fuel ratio of the exhaust gas is controlled by a Lambda-probe installed in exhaust manifold. This parameter shows good correlation in stationary operation but in transient mode or when scavenging is applied there is a mismatch between air fuel ratio in the combustion chamber and the exhaust. Due to scavenging the Lambda values measured in the exhaust are higher than the actual values in the cylinder. As rich operation always contains unburned hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide, there is a high risk of overheating/damaging the catalyst together with the oxygen scavenged.
GCA-Online can quickly calculate and provide the actual air fuel ratio on a cycle by cycle basis to ensure safe transient operation. The accuracy can be assessed from the energy balance of the combustion analysis and any airflow differences between measured and simulated values for the gas exchange analysis. When using only the exhaust lambda sensor, without knowing the scavenging rate, it is possible that rich operation will lead to excessive exothermal reactions in the catalyst due to the oxygen in the scavenged air.

**THEORY**

Gas exchange and combustion analysis (GCA) technology uses a combination of measured and simulated values to determine important additional values for engine development. This is a two part process that combines measured crank angle resolved pressure traces with a cycle simulation model.

The required inputs are the engine geometry (e.g. bore, stroke), valve lift profiles and valve flow coefficients. A database of thermodynamic properties provides the necessary data for modeling the air, fuel vapour and combustion products (subdivided into fuel burned and air burned fractions) [4]. This process can be used to accurately calculate a number of important values including the actual fuel and air mass for each cycle, based on the measured pressure traces.

**Combustion Analysis**

Combustion analysis is used to calculate the rate of heat release of the combustion process using a measured cylinder pressure trace. The solution is based on an energy balance during the high pressure phase of the cycle. This takes into account wall heat transfer, blowby, volume work and evaporation energy of the fuel.

\[
\frac{d(m_c u)}{d\alpha} = -p_i \frac{dV}{d\alpha} + \frac{dQ_f}{d\alpha} - \sum \frac{dQ_{\text{loss}}}{d\alpha} \\
- h_{BB} \frac{dm_{BB}}{d\alpha} - q_{ev} \cdot f \frac{dm_{\text{ev}}}{dt}
\]

\[
\text{[internal energy]} = -[\text{piston work}] + [\text{fuel heat input}] - [\text{wall heat losses}] - [\text{blowby}] - [\text{evaporation}]
\]

The calculation covers the high pressure phase of the engine cycle. That is, for a 4 stroke engine, between intake valve closing (IVC) and exhaust valve opening (EVO). A typical rate of heat release result and its components is shown in Figure 4.
The wall heat transfer can be modeled using a number of different approaches. These include the models proposed by Woschni [3], Hohenberg [6] and Bargende [7]. The quality of the combustion analysis can be assessed by checking the energy balance between the fuel energy input (fuel mass multiplied by lower heating value) and the calculated released energy. Fine tuning of final result by calibrating the wall heat losses or adjusting the measured signal based on a simulated measured pressure curve is also possible.

The output of the combustion analysis is a normalized rate of heat release curve. The curve is normalized because the actual air and fuel amounts are calculated by the gas exchange model in the second part of the process. That is, the combustion analysis is used to determine the characteristics of the combustion profile but the actual magnitude of heat release is only determined when combined with the calculated air and fuel masses from the gas exchange modeling.

Gas Exchange Analysis

The gas exchange analysis adds the modeling of the gas dynamics of the intake and exhaust port to the modeled rate of heat release from the combustion analysis. Gas dynamics in the intake and exhaust ports are modeled by dividing the ports into a number of one dimensional cells. The method used for solving the mass, momentum and energy equations of these cells for gas dynamics is the ENO finite volume method [8]. The intake and exhaust boundary conditions are based on the measured traces at these locations. This close coupling with measured data gives an accurate prediction of the flows in and out of the cylinder when combined with the correct valve lift profiles and associated flow coefficients.

The variation of the mass in the cylinder can be calculated from the sum of the in-flowing and out-flowing masses:

$$\frac{dm_c}{d\alpha} = \sum \frac{dm_i}{d\alpha} - \sum \frac{dm_e}{d\alpha} - \frac{dm_{bb}}{d\alpha} + \frac{dm_{inj}}{d\alpha} \tag{2}$$

[mass in cylinder] = [intake flow] - [exhaust flow] - [blowby] + [injected fuel]

This allows the analysis to predict the exact conditions at any point in the cycle. For example, residual gas at the start of the high pressure phase or unburned air fuel ratio at the start of combustion.

**Transient Analysis**

A transient gas exchange and combustion analysis is more complicated than a standard steady state analysis because of the lack of required measured data. The analysis needs the fuel and air mass flow for each cycle. In a steady state analysis this is easy enough to measure and average over a number of cycles. However, a method to use the calculated mass flows based on the measured pressure curves has been developed and applied in this case [9].

**METHODOLOGY**

The target for online operation of gas exchange and combustion analysis is to deliver simulation results for a reasonably complex model with the highest frequency possible. In order to meet these requirements the computer programs involved in the tool chain of a GCA simulation were adapted and optimized in several ways:

- The solver is the custom AVL/BOOST solver executable. The potential of speed up by tailoring all I/O to the simple and clearly arranged models of a GCA simulation proved to be enormous. The only change to the physical simulation itself was to disregard the calculation of result groups irrelevant to both the simulation and the end results (e.g. acoustic results which require significant processing time due to the use of FFT routines).
- To benefit from multiple CPUs which are available in almost most modern hardware, a multi process approach on the solver level was introduced. That is, maintenance of a job queue and management of the
result data is done by AVL IndiCom, while the simulations themselves are performed by several AVL BOOST solver processes running in parallel.

- To avoid the bottle neck resulting from the accumulation of HDD I/O operations in the multi process approach, most of the communication between the processes of the tool chain was shifted from traditional file I/O to a modern shared memory approach. By using the shared memory method, full scalability of the algorithm was observed on up to a 12 core work station.

With the implementation of these optimizations the time needed for a single calculation on a single core was reduced by a factor of approximately 5 (a simple model now needs less than a second on decent hardware). By further harnessing the multi process approach on a mid to high end workstation (e.g. 12 core Xeon) a throughput of approximately 10 simulation results per second can be achieved.

Computing Hardware Recommendations

The simulation performance can be measured by two parameters: The latency between measurement and availability of the corresponding simulation result and the throughput with the meaning of a frequency of the availability of new simulation results. Tests showed that the latency, which corresponds to the calculation time of a single process simulation, has hardly any dependence on the kind of used CPU as long as it is not too antiquated (e.g. a high end Xeon X5670 proved to be only ~5% faster than a rather low cost Core 2 Duo E8500). The throughput, on the contrary, scales linearly with the number of simulation processes which can run unhindered on a given hardware which again corresponds to the total number of calculation cores. Therefore the same hardware requirements as for AVL IndiCom, with an emphasis on multicore systems, apply:

- CPU : Intel Core-i or Intel Xeon with 4 or more cores at 3GHz
- RAM : 4 GB
- OS : Windows 7 (32/64bit) or Windows XP
- Interfaces: GigaBit Ethernet, CD-ROM, PCI-E and RS232 or ExpressCard (on Notebooks)

APPLICATION

In order to reduce the development time of TGDI (turbo charged gasoline direct injection) engines a high degree of test bed automation is required. To minimize the engine parameter adjustment time on the engine dyno or to have the possibility of running the development program unmanned, e.g. overnight, RTC’s (Real Time Controller) are used to set engine parameters like SA (spark advance) or injection. Therefore a Combustion RTC is used to adjust ignition timing for the desired MFB50% value (50% mass fraction burned), as well as a Knock Controller or a Peak Pressure Controller to retard spark advance if necessary. Along with a Lambda Controller and Engine Component Surveillance Controller to adjust injection time to the desired air/fuel ratio and enrichment of the air fuel mixture to stay within the exhaust gas temperature limits, an unmanned engine operation is possible in almost all areas of the engine speed/load map. The problem in scavenging operation is that this will cause fuel enrichment that will damage the catalyst. So instead of increasing the fuelling needs to be decreased to stay within temperature limits.

When a TGDI engine is equipped with cam phasing on one or both cam shafts, there is the potential to run the engine in scavenging operation mode. Scavenging operation gives the opportunity to increase the low end torque of the engine compared to non-scavenging operation or an engine without cam phasing. This effect is driven by an advanced IVC (intake valve closing), increased air mass flow which shifts the operation point of the compressor away from compressor surge line to areas with higher compressor efficiency and reduced residual gas content in the combustion chamber.

Scavenging operation is characterized by valve overlap at gas exchange TDC (top dead center) and a higher intake manifold pressure compared to the exhaust pressure (boosted operation at low engine speed, see Figure 6). This leads to a part of the fresh charge air being blown through the combustion chamber directly into the exhaust manifold without taking part in the combustion process whilst the fuel is injected after exhaust valve closing and remains in the combustion chamber. As a result the oxygen sensor in the exhaust system will detect the scavenged air and shows “lean” exhaust air/fuel ratio depending on the amount of air being scavenged, whereas the air/fuel ratio of the combustion chamber can be rich or stoichiometric. This behavior makes it impossible to control the air/fuel ratio of the combustion with the Lambda Controller using an exhaust gas oxygen sensor. Emission components (CO & O₂) measured by the CEB (combustion emission bench) can be used to evaluate the air/fuel ratio of the combustion chamber, but these signals are too slow to drive an RTC for injection timing. Scavenging operating of the engine with stoichiometric exhaust gas air/fuel ratio leads to very rich combustion chamber air/fuel ratio depending on the amount of scavenged air and might cause severe damage to the catalytic converter due to the thermal reaction of unburned fuel and scavenged air.

Figure 6 : BMEP & Oxygen (O2) content of exhaust gas
Figure 7 shows the BMEP potential of an engine operated at 1400rpm with WOT (wide open throttle) and closed turbine waste gate depending on intake and exhaust cam position (valve overlap).

Figure 7: BMEP Potential

Figure 8 shows the exhaust gas Lambda given by an oxygen sensor for constant CO level of 1% to avoid excessive thermal reaction in the catalyst.

Figure 8: Exhaust gas Lambda given by an oxygen sensor

Figure 9 shows the oxygen content of the exhaust and gives an impression of the amount of scavenged air depending on valve overlap.

For safe, unmanned automatic engine run the RTC for injection timing requires the air/fuel ratio of the combustion chamber. GCA-Online (Gas exchange and Combustion Analysis) can be used as a “virtual” combustion chamber lambda and scavenging sensor.

This procedure is applicable to both test bed and vehicle testing as described in the following section.

MEASUREMENTS

The test vehicle is an ALFA Romeo 159 series passenger car, Figure 10, with an inline 4 cylinder GDI gasoline engine. The main technical data is described in Table 1.

Figure 9: Oxygen content of the exhaust gas

Figure 10: ALFA Romeo 159
### Table 1: General Engine Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine Type</th>
<th>In line 4 cylinder, 16 valves, GDI, RON 95, Variable Phaser, 1 Stage Turbo.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>180 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque</td>
<td>340 Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement (bore x stroke)</td>
<td>1.742L (83mm x 80.5mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression Ratio</td>
<td>9.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The central element of the measurement set up is the data acquisition by two cascaded AVL IndiMicro Systems. The measurement set up is shown in ANNEX A. An IndiCom PC is connected via Ethernet to the IndiMicro Systems. Because the data required by the calculation changes rapidly this has to be provided by the measurement system on a cycle by cycle basis so that the transient operation can be followed by the calculation.

The intake, cylinder and exhaust pressure traces are directly fed into 3 high speed channels. The valve lift curve (cam profile) is fixed as the phasing itself can be shifted at both intake and exhaust valves. The signal of these phase shifts require channel number 4 and 5 at IndiModule’s input. The change in position of this square wave signal provides the crank degree shift of the cam shaft. Two current clamps are installed for detection of ignition and injection duration, shown in Figure 12. In parallel with these timing signals the inductive signal of the crank shaft is converted by vehicle interface 303 into a low voltage differential signal (LVDS) with the crank degree information.

There are a number of ECU signals needed for the GCA calculation. These include the pedal position, throttle position, torque, speed, fuel and intake pressure which are handled by the engine control unit (ECU). The transfer of these parameters is managed by CAN1-Bus to a CAN Module. The interface to the IndiCom PC is managed by a Universal Serial Bus (USB), Lambda via an ADC Module and acquisition of intake and exhaust manifold temperature via a Thermo Module are transferred by CAN2 also to the CAN Module.

Some parameters are not available on the CAN-Bus such as fuel and air mass flows. They are input to the ECU but not available as outputs. These are calculated within IndiCom by means of CalcGraf, a graphical formula editor within IndiCom. The graphical evaluation workflow is shown in ANNEX B. Fuel pipe pressure is known from speed and torque. Together with injection timing and intake manifold pressure fuel mass flow \( m_{\text{fuel}} \) per cycle can be calculated. The air mass flow \( m_{\text{air}} \) delivered per cycle is derived from Lambda and the amount of fuel. The air mass flow trapped is calculated by the gas exchange analysis.

### CALCULATIONS

From a large range of test cases and conditions a short period of transient dynamic operation has been selected to highlight the important advantages of performing gas exchange and combustion analysis. This consists of a period of 40 engine cycles where the IMEP increases from about 3 bar to nearly 13 bar. There is a relatively small increase in engine speed from 1050rpm to 1120rpm. There is a sudden step change in the gas pedal position from 7.5% to 100% (tip in) from cycles 27 to 30 and residual gas content decreases from around 25-30% to almost 0%.
Figure 13: Dynamic test period with valve phase shifts

The resulting two extremes of the valve lift profiles are shown in Figure 14.

Figure 14: Valve lift profiles

This results in a period of scavenging after cycle 35 as shown in Figure 15.

Figure 15: Scavenging during test period

All the cycles have been calculated and analyzed using the gas exchange and combustion analysis described in the previous sections. The results from two cycles before and after the step change have been selected to explain the results. For the mass flows the positive flow direction is the expected direction of flow through the valves. That is, positive intake flow is from the intake port into the cylinder and positive exhaust flow is from the cylinder into the exhaust port.

Figure 16 shows the intake, cylinder and exhaust pressure traces during an example non-scavenging period (cycle 18). Also included are the actual valve lift profiles and the calculated mass flows through the intake and exhaust valves. The scales have been selected for direct comparison with the scavenging period. The results show minimum valve overlap and a significantly higher exhaust pressure compared to the intake. This results in some back flow during the short overlap period.

Figure 16: Gas Exchange during non-scavenging period

Figure 17 shows the same set of results for an example scavenging period (cycle 50) as for the non-scavenging example cycle. (using the same scale). There is significantly more valve overlap and the pressure pulsations in the exhaust are greater. The intake pressure is now higher that the exhaust pressure during the valve overlap period. This results in scavenging flow from the intake through the cylinder and out the exhaust. This is shown by the positive mass flows through the intake and exhaust around gas exchange top dead center and during the valve overlap period.

Figure 17: Gas Exchange during scavenging period
Figure 17: Gas Exchange during scavenging period

Figure 18 shows a comparison of the measured lambda value in the exhaust and the calculated (by the analysis) value in the cylinder. Without scavenging, the measured and calculated lambda values match. However, during the scavenging period, the measured lambda is higher reflecting the fact that the scavenged air is included in these values. The calculated lambda values for the trapped state in the cylinder indicate that there is less trapped air due to the scavenging. Therefore, the analysis has provided additional important information about the state of the fuel-air mixture trapped in the cylinder. During the scavenging period, this is a more accurate reflection of the actual situation.

These calculations can be performed with GCA-Online directly at the test bed or in the vehicle.

CONCLUSIONS

Gas exchange and combustion analysis is already extensively used to obtain important useful additional information about the combustion and gas exchange process. This is achieved by combining engine cycle simulation and measurement. This process has now been enhanced by increasing the feedback to the development engineer by harnessing shared memory technology and streamlined calculation. This can be used to overcome the limitations of slow feedback from available sensors as well as providing important additional information from required locations where appropriate sensors cannot be located. The example presented shows that the analysis can provide a more actual reflection of the actual state in the cylinder. For example, the relative air fuel ratio in the cylinder during a period of scavenging, where the exhaust sensor includes the scavenged air in the reported value. The end result is that the development engineer at the test bed (or in the vehicle) now has direct access immediately to accurate gas exchange and combustion analysis data that cannot be measured.

NOMENCLATURE

Q heat transfer [J]
V volume [m³]
f fraction of evaporation heat from the cylinder charge [J/kg]
h enthalpy [J/kg]
m mass [kg]
n engine speed [rpm]
u specific internal energy [J/kg]
q evaporation heat [J/kg]
α crankangle [deg]
λ relative air fuel ratio, lambda [-]

Subscripts
BB blowby
c cylinder
e exhaust
ev evaporation
i intake

Acronyms
AVL "Anstalt für Verbrennungskraftmaschinen", Prof. Dr. Hans List (or Institute for Internal Combustion Engines).
https://www.avl.com/home
ADC Analog-to-Digital Converter
BMEP Brake Mean Effective Pressure
CAN Controller Area Network
CEB Combustion Emission Bench
CPU Central Processing Unit
ECU Engine Control Unit
EGR Exhaust Gas Recirculation
ENO Essentially Non-Oscillatory
EVO Exhaust Valve Opening
FFT Fast Fourier Transform
GCA Gas Exchange and Combustion Analysis
GDI Gasoline Direct Injection
HDD Hard Disk Drive
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IMEP  Indicated Mean Effective Pressure
IndiCom  IndiCom is a software in the field of combustion analysis that combines the control of data acquisition with professional data evaluation for clear graphical presentation.  
https://www.avl.com/indicom-indicating-software
IVO  Intake Valve Opening
MFB  Mass Fraction Burned
PUMA  Test System Automation Software  
https://www.avl.com/puma-open
RTC  Real Time Controller
TDC  Top Dead Center
TGDI  Turbocharged Gasoline Direct Injection
WOT  Wide Open Throttle

REFERENCES
ANNEX A
MEASUREMENT SETUP

ANNEX B
MASS FLOW CALCULATION OF FUEL AND AIR IN INDICOM