

EV olve Mobility:

Critical Elements for Excelling in Electric Powertrain Development and Testing

Dr. Gerald Sammer

Today's Presenter



Dr. Gerald Sammer

Principal Business Field Owner, Battery & BEV.

M.Sc. in computer science and a Ph.D. in economics.

30+ years professional experience in computer science.

25+ years experience in automotive technologies.

AVL representative in the technical steering committee of the ASAM standardization group for automotive standards.

Happy EV drivers expect ...

but OEMs are facing issues

Latest innovations

Development time is too long and HW prototypes are cost drivers

Long range & fast charge

A hassle-free car

Battery performance must improve along with reduced time-to-market

OEMs are facing high warranty cost due to many product re-calls

Continues improvements

Many OEMs are challenged with complex and slow software releases

*) Picture created with OpenAI

/ 3

How to save 50% of test time and 40% of time for model integration



Development time is too long and HW prototypes are cost drivers

How to move battery validation to the lab and improve health prediction with AI



Battery performance must improve along with reduced time-to-market

How to save hundreds of millions of \$ in repair costs for OEMs using AI

How to manage and shorten the SW update release cycle from months to days



3

OEMs are facing high warranty cost due to many product re-calls

4 Many OEMs are challenged with complex and slow software releases

/ 4

How to save 50% of test time and 40% of time for model integration	Deep Dive Webinar available from 30 th June			
How to move battery validation to the lab and improve health prediction with AI	Deep Dive Webinar available from 06 th November			
How to save hundreds of millions of \$ in repair costs for OEMs using AI	Deep Dive Webinar available from 18 th September			
How to manage and shorten the SW update release cycle from months to days	Deep Dive Webinar available from 08 th May			
Today's Overview Webinar				

How to save 50% of test time and 40% of time for model integration



Development time is too long and HW prototypes are cost drivers

How to move battery validation to the lab and improve health prediction with AI



3

Battery performance must improve along with reduced time-to-market

How to save hundreds of millions of \$ in repair costs for OEMs using AI

How to manage and shorten the SW update release cycle from months to days



OEMs are facing high warranty cost due to many product re-calls

Many OEMs are challenged with complex and slow software releases

/ 6



Current test environments lack SW pipeline integration, causing manual effort and delaying releases.

Separate e-motor models for HiL and Power-HiL cause extra effort with complex integration of own models.

High pressure to optimize edrive efficiency and calibrate maps in short time due to limited testbed time.

Low test equipment utilization

Lack of product maturity progress tracking across the development process.

Public



Current test environments lack SW pipeline integration, causing manual effort and delaying releases.

/ 8



Hardware-centric

development process

Development time is too long and HW prototypes are cost drivers

Software-centric development

process (DevOps)

Current test environments lack SW pipeline integration, causing manual effort and delaying releases.

The Challenge:

- Increasing need for functional, continuous testing
- Example: Functional safety requires about 1800 individual tests
- Manual test case implementation is slow and error prone
- 60% of a typical project of 18 months is dedicated to test case implementation

Calibration & op Functional testing



/ 9





Current test environments lack SW pipeline integration, causing manual effort and delaying releases.

The Solution:

- Test cases are automatically read from the lifecycle management tool
- Tests are automatically triggered by the pipeline and executed; no manual intervention
- Full traceability as results and reports are sent back to the lifecycle management tool





Separate e-motor models for HiL and Power-HiL cause extra effort with complex integration of own models.

B

The Challenge:

- SiL limitations drive preference for HiL/pHiL with complex integration.
- Separate HiL/pHiL models cause redundant parametrization.
- Integration complexity slows down e-drive development.





Separate e-motor models for HiL and Power-HiL cause extra effort with complex integration of own models.

The Solution:

B

- Automatic pHiL model parametrization based on customer data
- Re-use of models in different test environments for less modelling and integration work
- Easier configuration as the models can be replaced with HW in later phases





Separate e-motor models for HiL and Power-HiL cause extra effort with complex integration of own models.

Key Benefits:

B

- 40% time-savings for preparation by automatic model integration
- Less modelling and integration work by reusing models across environments





High pressure to optimize edrive efficiency and calibrate maps in short time due to limited testbed time.

The Challenge:

Creating best E-drive efficiency and tuning of complete maps in a short time due to limited testbed resources and tight project milestones!





Automatic map generation out of the online model High pressure to optimize edrive efficiency and calibrate maps in short time due to limited testbed time.

The Solution:

- A DoE test plan is executed as a start design
- It ensures efficient variation point distribution and has repetition points in noisy regions
- The measurements are used by CAMEO Active DoE to learn and execute extra test points in the noisy regions



Example: Global efficiency and torque accuracy optimization for an EESM



High pressure to optimize edrive efficiency and calibrate maps in short time due to limited testbed time.

Key benefits:

- 50% time savings with AVL Active DoE
- Handle complex tuning of EESM with less effort
- Less manpower at testbed
- Reliable & reusable results



High pressure to optimize edrive efficiency and calibrate maps in short time due to limited testbed time.

What others say ...





Low test equipment utilization

The Challenge:

- High operational cost and project delays because test runs need to be repeated
- Damages on UUT and testbed are detected too late



Public

/ 19

PUMA2 Machine Learning detects non-plausible measurements

- Auto generate models from measurements
- Execute on testbeds for predictions
- No domain experts or complex configurations required



Low test equipment utilization

The Benefits:

- Detection of nonplausible behavior already during runtime
- Reduce testbed downtime: Stop invalid tests
- Save expert resources: Models are created automatically

Dr. Gerald Sammer | EVolve Mobility Webinar Series | AVL 🐲



Low test equipment utilization



How to master the lab operations complexity?



Inefficient Lab Operations



Low test equipment utilization

The Challenge:

- Increasing regulatory requirements
- Complex certification processes
- Disconnected, individual software solutions
- Lack of traceability
- High administrative overhead



Optimized Lab Operations



Low test equipment utilization

The Solution:

- Template processes
- Seamless toolchain
- Automated resource scheduling
- Chain of custody
- 35% increased testbed utilization



Lack of product maturity progress tracking across the development process.

F



The Challenge:

- No single point of truth for test data
- Unreliable manual data collection
- Traceability and regulatory compliance not given
- Manual, error-prone data analysis with fragmented tool-chain





Lack of product maturity progress tracking across the development process.

The Solution:

F

- Automated data ingest, harmonization and evaluation
- Full data traceability
- Less manual work and no data loss
- Automated KPI tracking along the dev. process

How to save 50% of test time and 40% of time for model integration

Development time is too long and HW prototypes are cost drivers

How to move battery validation to the lab and improve health prediction with AI



Battery performance must improve along with reduced time-to-market



SOH determination takes a lot of cell-testing time

Late battery validation with physical vehicle prototypes may lead to SOP delay or degraded performance

EV battery health status is unreliable

Influencing factors on cell aging...



SOH determination takes a lot of cell-testing time

The Challenge:

- Many influencing factors
- Each parameter variation takes months of test time
- Total aging test time takes more than a year

Cell cycling until SOH=80%



A

SOH determination takes a lot of cell-testing time

The Solution:

- Train a model to learn the relationship between fast aging and slower aging cells
- Once model precision is good enough predict the remaining cycles using the model

/ 28



Late battery validation with physical vehicle prototypes may lead to SOP delay or degraded performance

The Challenge:

- Physical battery pack validation in the vehicle gives realistic results ...
- But it's too late
- High risk for SOP delay and huge cost



Late battery validation with physical vehicle prototypes may lead to SOP delay or degraded performance

The Solution:

- Shift left battery pack validation to the battery testbed
- Integrate realistic vehicle simulation incl. driver and thermal system
- Perform BMS development on the HIL with vehicle simulation



Battery in-the-loop setup



Late battery validation with physical vehicle prototypes may lead to SOP delay or degraded performance

The Benefits:

- Predict aging behavior with realistic vehicle and thermal loads
- Early SOx validation and pack testing with vehicle behavior
- Vehicle range validation
- Consider various thermal strategies
- Performance testing on vehicle level



EV battery health status is unreliable

The Challenge:

- SOx prediction inconsistent
- BMS needs to detect warranty events
- Calculate maintenance planning



EV battery health status is unreliable

The Solution:

- SOH prediction model in the cloud
- Update BMS with optimized control strategies

/ 33



EV battery health status is unreliable

The Benefits:

- Exact SOx status
- Anomaly detection
- Consistent lifetime prediction

How to save 50% of test time and 40% of time for model integration



Development time is too long and HW prototypes are cost drivers

How to move battery validation to the lab and improve health prediction with AI



Battery performance must improve along with reduced time-to-market

How to save hundreds of millions of \$ in repair costs for OEMs using AI



OEMs are facing high warranty cost due to many product re-calls



Automotive OEMs spent about 45 B\$ for warranty claims in 2022

Largest BEV recalls due to risk of fire in the US



2018 200.000 vehicles



2019 100.000 vehicles



2021 75.000 vehicles → 800 M\$ repair cost

Warranty claims in the automotive industry have a huge potential for cost savings

https://research.alpha-sense.com/?docid=WEB-EX-SGX

2aec62a47b78093cfcaf571d6a770683&k = automotive%200EM%20warranty%20expenses&stmt = fse75531&page = 22%2C22&slop = 15&scope = ANYWHERE&hl = fse75531Backet = fse75581Backet = fse75581Backet = fse75581Backet = fse75531Backet = fse75581Backet = fse7581Backet = fs

https://interestingengineering.com/lists/biggest-ev-recalls



Quality issues in the fleet are unpredictable during operation

The Challenge:

- A single vehicle recall action can lead to costs of up to \$1.8 billion.
- The damage to brand reputation is hard to recover.





Battery failure



			VIN (Vahiala*)	Diale
				RISK
		- 1	1FAFP45X83F403461	87,6%
	Pocalle		1C4NJPBA1CD661292	82,7%
	Recails		1G8ZF5287XZ363384	79,3%
		- 1	WMWRC33474TC49530	74,1%
			WP0CA29924S650563	68,9%
			WV2YB0257EH008533	64,1%
			5TEWN72N63Z275910	60,7%
	Deselle		1GCFG25F6V1059733	54,1%
	Recalls		2G1WH55K5Y9322458	52,7%
	a vaidad		SAJWA2GEXBMV00832	46,0%
	avoided		5XYKT3A69DG353356	43,6%
Υ.		-	2B3ED56F5RH142129	43,4%
ial identification ths before failure			4V4N99EH3CN554692	42,5%
			1G4HP54KX24151104	42,2%
			1FMCU14T6JU400773	36,2%
			JHMSZ542XDC028494	30,8%
			1GCHK23244F199207	28,1%
			JH4DA9340LS003571	26,5%
			1FAFP58S11A177991	23,5%
			JM3TB2MA5A0235007	19,2%
			JH4DC2380RS000036	16,2%
			WBACB4324RFL14401	11,0%
			/	

*) Listed VINs are not real ones

97% of issues are identified one month ahead 38% of issues are identified one year ahead

Joint publication with Jaguar Land Rover at the 10th International Symposium on Development Methodology, Nov. 2023



Quality issues in the fleet are unpredictable during operation

Key Benefits:

- 92% less product recalls
- Hundreds of million \$ saved in repair cost
- Safeguard brand reputation

How to save 50% of test time and 40% of time for model integration



Development time is too long and HW prototypes are cost drivers

How to move battery validation to the lab and improve health prediction with AI



Battery performance must improve along with reduced time-to-market

How to save hundreds of millions of \$ in repair costs for OEMs using AI



OEMs are facing high warranty cost due to many product re-calls

How to manage and shorten the SW update release cycle from months to days



Many OEMs are challenged with complex and slow software releases







GAF

Test-/calibration experts Modern software development pushes the current sequential methodology to its limits.

This requires tighter integration of software development, calibration, and testing.

Despite faster release cycles, compliance aspects like UN ECE R156 SUMS must be fulfilled.

Software

experts

Many OEMs are challenged with complex and slow software releases



The Solution:

- Automation of software release integration
- API for process integration
- Guided Review-process, SUMS support
- Support for automotive cyber security
- Management of variants and responsibilities

Many OEMs are challenged with complex and slow software releases



Key Benefits:

- Master daily software updates
- Facilitate collaboration of calibration and software teams
- Maximize software reuse through efficient variant management
- Achieve compliance with UNECE R156



SUMMARY

What We Showed Today ...

How to save 50% of test time and 40% of time for model integration	Deep Dive Webinar available from 30 th June	
How to move battery validation to the lab and improve health prediction with AI	Deep Dive Webinar available from 06 th November	
How to save hundreds of millions of \$ in repair costs for OEMs using AI	Deep Dive Webinar available from 18 th September	
How to manage and shorten the SW update release cycle from months to days	Deep Dive Webinar available from 08 th May	

Contact



Thank you



www.avl.com